

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
Region III  
1650 Arch Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

IN RE: :  
: :  
Huber Memorial United Church of Christ, Inc. : Docket No: TSCA-03-2011-0200  
5701 York Road : :  
Baltimore, Maryland 21212 : CONSENT AGREEMENT  
: :  
Respondent : :  
: :

CONSENT AGREEMENT

I. Preliminary Statement

This Consent Agreement ("CA"), issued under the authority set forth in sections 16 and 207 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2615 and 2647, is entered into, through delegation, by the Director, Land and Chemicals Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region III ("Complainant") and Huber Memorial United Church of Christ, Inc. ("Respondent"). This CA includes the assessment of a civil penalty against Respondent because it is a local education agency liable for violations which occurred at the HOPE Academy, located in Baltimore, Maryland (the "Facility"), pursuant to the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"), Subchapter II (the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act or "AHERA") 15 U.S.C. §§ 2641 to 2656; and the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits ("Consolidated Rules of Practice"), 40 C.F.R. Part 22, with specific reference to the provisions set forth at 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b)(2) and (3).

This Consent Agreement and the accompanying Final Order (collectively referred to

herein as the "CAFO") address violations by Respondent of AHERA and the federal regulations implementing AHERA as set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 763 Subpart E, and resolve Complainant's civil claims against Respondent arising from the violations alleged herein.

II. General Provisions

1. For the purpose of this proceeding only, Respondent admits the jurisdictional allegations set forth in this CAFO.
2. Except as provided in paragraph 1, above, Respondent neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations and conclusions of law set forth in this CAFO.
3. Respondent agrees not to contest the Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") jurisdiction with respect to the execution of this CA, the issuance of the accompanying Final Order, or the enforcement of the CAFO.
4. For the purposes of this proceeding only, Respondent hereby expressly waives its right to a hearing on any issue of law or fact set forth in this CA and any right to appeal the accompanying Final Order.
5. Respondent shall not deduct for civil taxation purposes the civil penalty specified in this CAFO.
6. Section 22.13(b) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice provides that where the parties agree to settlement of one or more causes of action before the filing of a complaint, a proceeding may be simultaneously commenced and concluded by the issuance of a consent agreement and final order.
7. By signing this CA, Respondent certifies to EPA that, upon investigation and to the best

of its knowledge, the Facility is in compliance with the provisions of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act ("AHERA"), Subchapter II of TSCA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2641-2656, and regulations promulgated thereunder.

8. Respondent consents to the issuance of this CAFO and agrees to comply with its terms.
9. Respondent shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.
10. Nothing in this CAFO shall relieve Respondent of its obligation to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.
11. By signing and executing this CA, Respondent certifies that it has already spent at least seventeen thousand five hundred fifteen dollars (\$17,515.00) since the Maryland Department of the Environment's ("MDE") September 23, 2008 inspection for purposes of complying with Subchapter II of TSCA and the regulations promulgated thereunder, in accordance with § 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a), and that Respondent has provided Complainant with all supporting cost documentation and information.
12. This CAFO shall apply to and be binding upon the EPA, Respondent, and the officers, directors, successors, and assigns of Respondent.

### III. EPA's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

13. Complainant has determined that Respondent has violated requirements of TSCA and the federal regulations implementing AHERA set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 763, Subpart E. In accordance with the Consolidated Rules of Practice as set forth at 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b)(2) and (3), Complainant alleges the following findings of fact and

conclusions of law.

14. Respondent, Huber Memorial United Church of Christ, Inc., is the "Local Education Agency" ("LEA") as that term is defined under Section 202(7) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2642(7) and 40 C.F.R. § 763.83, because it is the owner of a nonpublic, non-profit elementary, or secondary school building, including the Facility, and as such, is responsible for ensuring that the Facility is in compliance with the requirements of AHERA.
15. The Facility, HOPE Academy, located at 1808 Edison Highway, in Baltimore, Maryland, is a "school" as that term is defined at Section 202(12) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. 2642(12) and 40 C.F.R. § 763.83.
16. The Facility is a "school building" as that term is defined at Section 202(13) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2642(13) and 40 C.F.R. § 763.83.

#### COUNT I

17. The allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 16 are incorporated herein by reference.
18. 40 C.F.R. § 763.85(a)(2) provides, with exceptions not relevant to these proceedings, that any building leased or acquired by local education agencies on or after October 12, 1988 to be used as a school building shall be inspected to identify all locations of friable and non-friable asbestos-containing building material ("ACBM").
19. During the September 23, 2008 inspection, the MDE inspector observed that Respondent did not conduct an initial inspection of the Facility.

20. Respondent's failure to conduct an initial inspection at the Facility for ACBM is a violation of 40 C.F.R. § 763.85(a)(2), and Section 207(a)(1) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a)(1).

#### COUNT II

21. The allegations contained in Paragraph 1 through 20 are incorporated herein by reference.

22. 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(a)(3) provides if a local education agency begins to use a building as a school after October 12, 1988, the local education agency shall submit an asbestos management plan for the school to an Agency designated by the Governor prior to its use as a school.

23. During the September 23, 2008 inspection, the MDE inspector observed that Respondent failed to submit an asbestos management plan for the Facility to an Agency designated by the Governor prior to its use as a school, as required pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(a)(3).

24. By failing to submit an asbestos management plan for the school to an Agency designated by the Governor prior to its use as a school, Respondent violated the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(a)(3) and Section 207(a)(3) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a)(3).

#### IV. Settlement Recitation

25. Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, EPA concludes that the Respondent is liable for a civil penalty pursuant to Section 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a), for violations of TSCA. In full settlement of the violations alleged in this Consent Agreement, in consideration of each provision of this Consent Agreement

and the accompanying Final Order, and pursuant to Sections 207(a) and (c) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2647(a) and (c), and other relevant factors, Complainant and Respondent have determined that a civil penalty of six thousand five hundred dollars (\$6,500.00) is appropriate.

26. The aforesaid assessed penalty is based upon EPA's consideration of a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the statutory factors set forth in Section 207(c) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(c), i.e., the significance of the violation, the culpability of the violator, and the ability of the violator to continue to provide educational services to the community. These factors were applied to the particular facts and circumstances of this case with specific reference to EPA's Interim Final Enforcement Response Policy for the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act ("ERP"), dated January 31, 1989, adjusted for inflation pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Part 19.

27. Respondent certifies that it has spent at least seventeen thousand five hundred fifteen dollars (\$17,515.00) since MDE's September 23, 2008 inspection to comply with Subchapter II of TSCA. Therefore, pursuant to sections 16(a)(2)(C) and 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2615(a)(2)(C) and 2647(a), EPA agrees to the remittance of six thousand five hundred dollars (\$6,500.00) of the civil penalty assessed against Respondent.

28. Respondent consents to the assessment of a six thousand five hundred dollar penalty (\$6,500.00) with a cash component of zero (\$0) dollars.

#### V. Reservation of Rights

29. This CAFO resolves only the civil claims for the specific violations alleged in this

CAFO. EPA reserves the right to commence action against any person, including Respondent, in response to any condition which EPA determines may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, public welfare, or the environment. In addition, this settlement is subject to all limitations on the scope of resolution and to the reservation of rights set forth in Section 22.18(c) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice. Further, EPA reserves any rights and remedies available to it under Subchapter II of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2641 to 2656, the regulations promulgated thereunder, and any other federal laws or regulations for which EPA has jurisdiction, to enforce the provisions of this CAFO, following its filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

VI. Effective Date

30. The effective date of this CAFO is the date on which the Final Order is filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk pursuant to the Consolidated Rules of Practice.

The undersigned representative of Respondent certifies that he or she is fully authorized by Respondent to execute this Consent Agreement and to legally bind Respondent to this Consent Agreement.



For Respondent:

June 1, 2011

Date




Reverend P.M. Smith, Senior Pastor  
Huber Memorial United Church of Christ, Inc.

For Complainant:

June 08, 2011

Date




Kyla L. Townsend-McIntyre  
Enforcement Officer

Accordingly I hereby recommend that the Regional Administrator or his designee issue the Final Order attached hereto.

6/20/11

Date



Abraham Ferdas, Director  
Land and Chemicals Division





**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION III  
1650 Arch Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029**

Subject: Toxic Substances Control Act  
Huber Memorial United Church of Christ, Inc.  
Docket No. TSCA-03-2011-0200  
Consent Agreement and Final Order

From: Marcia Mulkey, Regional Counsel  
Office of Regional Counsel (3RC00)

Abraham Ferdas, Director  
Land & Chemicals Division (3LC00)

To: Renée Sarajian, Regional Judicial Officer  
Office of Regional Counsel (3RC00)

The attached Consent Agreement has been negotiated pursuant to the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits ("Consolidated Rules"), 40 C.F.R. Part 22, with specific reference to 40 C.F.R. § 22.13(b) and .18(b)(2), in settlement of alleged violations of the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, by Huber Memorial United Church of Christ, Inc. A civil penalty of six thousand five hundred dollars (\$6,500.00) with a cash component of zero dollars (\$0.00) has been calculated in accordance with the statutory factors of TSCA Section 207(c) and EPA's "Interim Final Enforcement Response Policy for AHERA", dated January 31, 1989, as supplemented by the "Gravity Based Penalty Matrices for Violations, which occur after January 30, 1997, for AHERA Interim Final ERP" effective January 30, 1997, and EPA's September 21, 2004 "Modifications to EPA Penalty Policies to Implement the Civil Monetary Inflation Rule (Pursuant to the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, Effective Date: October 1, 2004)".

TSCA Section 207(a) provides that any civil penalty under AHERA from a local education agency ("LEA") be reduced by the LEA's costs spent complying with AHERA requirements. The LEA in this case documented seventeen thousand five hundred fifteen dollars (\$17,515.00) in costs following the Maryland Department of the Environment's September 2008 inspection to comply with AHERA and, therefore, the cash component of the six thousand five hundred dollar (\$6,500.00) assessed civil penalty is zero dollars (\$0.00). This is consistent with the "Assessing Administrative Civil Penalties Against an LEA" section of the 1989 Interim Final Enforcement Response Policy for AHERA.

We concur with the terms of the attached Consent Agreement and we recommend that you sign the Final Order, in accordance with the Consolidated Rules at 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(3).